artnet artnet Auctions

English In Brief Market Art World People Topics

Search

ART WORLD

Why You Should Be Suspicious of the 'Creative Economy'

Ben Davis, Thursday, September 10, 2015

SHARE



A life drawing class at School of Visual Arts
Photo: Courtesy SVA

One-thousand six-hundred fifty-four.

I'm not teaching this semester, but if I were, that is the one number that I would want everyone to remember.

It is the reported number of "fine artists" sustained by the booming visual art industry in New York City (as of two years ago), according to <u>Creative</u> <u>New York</u>, a 2015 report on the health of Gotham's "Creative Economy."

Most Popular



ART WORLD

See These Awe-Inspiring James Turrell Works Around Europe You Probably Didn...



ART WORLD

Super New Stonehenge Discovered: Immense 4,500-Year-Old Monument Found Buri...



ART WORLD

Must-See Art Guide: Brazil for ArtRio



ART WORLD

French Street Artists Paint World's Largest Mural in Norway



ART WORLD

Carolyn Christov-Bakargiev's Istanbul Biennial Makes Political Statements W...



PEOPLE

French Politician Attacks Anish Kapoor After a Decision To Keep Versailles ...



PEOPLE

'Daily Mail' Claims They Have Revealed Banksy—He's a Parking Attendant. Rea...

Creative Workers Employment: 2003 and 2013									
	2	003	2013						
industry	Total Employment	Total Employment Working Outside Creative Industries	Total Employment	Total Employment Working Outside Creative Industries					
Architects, Except Landscape and Naval	5,299	1,145	7,152	1,276					
Landscape Architects	309	117	342	121					
Curators	810	484	1,020	612					
Art Directors	6,633	1,535	7,697	2,029					
Craft Artists	1,454	222	1,309	212					
Fine Artists, Including Painters, Sculptors, and Illustrators	1,534	272	1,654	302					
Multimedia Artists and Animators	4,101	596	4,426	691					
Artists and Related Workers, All Other	571	134	564	165					
Commercial and Industrial Designers	1,894	927	1,982	820					
Fashion Designers	6,262	5,557	6,200	5,244					
Graphic Designers	14,516	4,902	15,790	5,208					
Interior Designers	4,205	597	4,882	648					
Set and Exhibit Designers	980	223	1,226	262					
Designers, All Other	406	182	464	202					
Actors	5,261	574	6,814	738					
Producers and Directors	12,284	1,135	15,636	1,536					
Dancers	1,158	232	1,432	321					
Choreographers	147	58	132	51					
Music Directors and Composers	1,834	1,018	2,047	1,107					
Musicians and Singers	8,473	2,119	9,632	2,456					
Editors	15,518	2,478	15,981	3,779					
Writers and Authors	9,819	2,137	10,700	2,770					
Photographers	5,150	1,782	6,483	2,609					
Film and Video Editors	2,458	133	3,513	139					
Makeup Artists, Theatrical and Performance	355	68	475	91					
Other	2,230	21,042	3,450	21,300					
Total	111.432	28.626	127,551	33,390					

The top "Creative Economy" jobs in New York (with "Fine Artists" highlighted)
Image: Courtesy Center for an Urban Future

The School of Visual Arts enrolls about 400 in its "Fine Arts" section (graduate and undergraduate). Pratt Institute has 374. Parsons, the New School for Design, is training about 114 undergraduates and 46 grads. Cooper Union has about 280 students in its art programs, while NYU's Steinhardt school enrolls about 220 art undergraduates, plus a few dozen more in its graduate programs.

That already takes us to more than 1,400 art students, and these are just the programs I can name off the top of my head. It follows that at any given moment in New York there are many, many more artists in the process of being added to the pool than there are successful artists who have "made it" in the entire city.

Creative New York tells us that back in 2003, the number of "Fine Artists, Including Painters, Sculptors, and Illustrators" was 1,534. Thus, in a decade, the thriving New York art industry made room for exactly 120 more artists in the city to support themselves via their art.

According to artnet Analytics, the US art auction market, of which New York is the indisputable hub, surged from \$1.2 billion to \$4.9 billion in the same 10-year period—effectively quadrupling. New York's artist population experienced no corresponding surge. If anything, the same money that drove the art boom drove a luxury property boom that has made it more difficult to find affordable living space, let alone affordable studio space (something *Creative New York* argues specifically).

Statistics that count artists are <u>notoriously slippery</u> (the *New York Times* recently <u>drew fire</u> for its use of statistics to prove that the <u>Internet was helping musicians</u>). The total number of working artists squeaking by out there could be a few more or a few less, depending on whom you include. But even if you think that this is a massive undercount, you can't

like those odds.

And these are the good times. The central premise of *Creative New York* is that the city's "Creative Economy" is thriving. Which brings me to the idea of the "Creative Economy" itself.

Because if I had another lesson to give to students, it would be this: When you hear this term, be very, very suspicious.

In general, the concept is an unhelpful portmanteau, squashing together those who work in corporate advertising and entertainment industries (which have their own industry-specific trade groups, incidentally) with independent "fine artists" of various kinds.



The 2014 Ad Age Digital Conference Image: Courtesy Ad Age

As far as I can tell, beneath the surface, the justification for this tortured alliance is this: The concept of the "Creative Economy" is popular because it is a way to make an economic case for supporting fields, like fine arts, that aren't able to support their practitioners economically.

The ad industry doesn't really need the help. *Creative New York* is full of policy prescriptions to help the arts, from "Develop affordable housing for artists" to "Create an MBA-style boot camp for cultural nonprofit administrators." But none of these seem to have much to do with Madison Avenue.

Meanwhile, the magical economic externalities attributed to art as reasons for supporting it—it helps "build community," draws tourists, and so on—can only, by a spectacular stretch of the imagination, be applied to ads.

So why smoosh them together? The answer seems to be this: You need to include the more strictly corporate fields to make the case for the robust economic importance of "creativity." Just two sub-fields in the *Creative New York* tally, "Motion Picture and Video Production" and "Advertising Agencies," account for about one third of "Creative Economy" jobs all by themselves.

Industry	Industry	2003			2013		
		Business	Self- Employed	Total	Business	Self- Employed	Tota
Publishing	Newspaper Publishers	9,610	734	10,344	7,999	383	8,38
	Periodical Publishers	27,953	1,138	29,092	22,284	1,164	23,4
	Book Publishers	12,344	831	13,175	11,368	700	12,0
	Other Publishers	698	325	1,023	461	250	71
Film & Television	Motion Picture and Video Production	19,277	3,355	22,632	32,563	4,387	36,9
	Motion Picture and Video Distribution	855	157	1,011	695	102	79
	Postproduction Services and Other Motion Picture and Video Industries	2,380	617	2,997	2,349	702	3,0
Music Production	Record Production	444	189	634	197	137	33
	Integrated Record Production/Distribution	1,660	99	1,759	1,039	101	1,1/
	Music Publishers	637	295	931	637	173	80
	Sound Recording Studios	793	586	1,379	527	439	96
	Other Sound Recording Industries	257	116	373	329	83	41
Broadcasting	Radio Broadcasting	2,327	744	3,072	3,305	635	3,9
	Television Broadcasting	16,079	252	16,331	13,743	215	13,9
	Cable and Other Subscription Programming	7,807	218	8,025	9,483	100	9,58
	News Syndicates	1,005	19	1,024	3,299		3,21
Architecture	Architectural Services	9,727	1,319	11,046	13,342	1,334	14,6
	Landscape Architectural Services	390	73	463	478	102	58
	Interior Design Services	2,451	2,389	4,841	2,993	2,551	5,5
Applied Design	Industrial Design Services	312	363	675	414	360	77
	Graphic Design Services	4,924	3,723	8,646	5,116	3,978	9,0
	Other Specialized Design Services	2,118	1,064	3,182	3,440	1,463	4,9
	Photography Studios, Portrait	743	239	983	1.178	467	1,6
	Commercial Photography	1,560	219	1,779	1,300	350	1,6
Advertising	Advertising Agencies	31,813	971	32,784	40,477	915	41,3
	Outdoor Advertising	934	151	1,084	1,612	127	1,73
	Direct Mail Advertising	1,267	170	1,437	892	114	1,00
	Other Services Related to Advertising	962	462	1,424	1,030	280	1,3
Performing Arts	Theater Companies and Dinner Theaters	8,582	351	8,934	10,282	529	10.8
	Dance Companies	2,479	75	2,554	2,693	142	2,83
	Musical Groups and Artists	2,261	353	2,614	1,800	607	2,40
	Other Performing Arts Companies	48	44	93	295	45	34
Visual Arts	Art Dealers	2,118	255	2,373	2,702	348	3,0
	Museums	5,317	163	5,480	6,470	198	6,66
	Fine Arts Schools	3,666	1,012	4,678	5,865	1,473	7,3
Other	Independent Artists, Writers, and Performers	2,230	21.042	23,272	3,450	21,300	24.7

New York's creative workers, by industry (with notable fields highlighted)
Image: Courtesy Center for an Urban Future

By comparison, visual art is tiny, even if you count all its attendant support industries. Between 2003 and 2013, the number of jobs *added* by New York's "Motion Picture and Video Production" and "Advertising Agencies" categories (about 23,000) was more than the *entire* amount currently employed by all "Art Dealers," "Museums," and "Fine Art Schools" combined (about 17,000).

Interestingly, when I talked to Adam Forman, the helpful author of the study, his explanation was: "Advertising is the foundation of a successful creative economy." He pointed to how <u>Andy Warhol</u> sustained himself as a commercial illustrator before hitting it big in Pop art, among other things.



Andy Warhol, *Uncle Sam Wants Shoe* (1950) Image: Courtesy Guy Hepner

Forman's observation is really an example of how different the employment dynamics are within these distinct fields, but it does point to something important: Most "fine artists" make their rent through work totally outside their own practice, often in the far-flung branches of the broadly defined "Creative Economy."

Still, I think that on the whole the notion of a "Creative Economy" is obfuscating when it comes to trying to figure out what is going on in the specific case of fine art. The much-lamented professionalization of the visual arts has not extended so far that most—or even many—artists make a profession out of it.

There's a subway ad for SVA that tempts passersby to "Make Practical Use of Your Wildest Dreams." This come-on is similar to ads that tout the Lottery by showing you how great it would be to win it.



Ad for the School of Visual Arts Photo: Ben Davis

Compare that pitch to this quote by New York artist Jennifer Dalton, from the book *Living and Sustaining a Creative Life*:

I remember the first time someone told me that many artists with apparently thriving careers and gallery representation still had day jobs. It was the first of a very long series of realizations that the art world is at least 50% smoke and mirrors. At the time I felt an almost personal betrayal at the realization that artists I had already perceived as incredibly, unattainably successful still had to find another way to pay the bills.

If you have the resources, art school has a lot to recommend it. It may make you happier; it may make you better at expressing yourself; it may give you a network of like-minded people to sustain you creatively; it may teach you how to formalize and present your own creative ideas so you can teach them to others.

These are all fine things.

But even of the luckiest of the lucky, only a very few—1,654 in NYC, according to the experts at *Creative New York*, and 3,660 in the country as a whole, according to the government—will get the whole package, making a living off of their work alone.

For the most part, becoming an artist is not something one does because there is a booming "Creative Economy" that supports that choice. Anyone who tells you otherwise is selling you something.